RE-EXAMINATION OF THE KÜLTEPE TABLET

(Kt. g/t 42+z/t 11)

(SUMMARY)

The first fragment $(g/t \ 42)$ of the tablet (Kt. $g/t \ 42+z/t \ 11$), which is to be the subject of study here was found in the palace of Waršama during the excavations of 1955 at Kültepe. This fragment together with the other two tablets ($g/t \ 36$, $h/t \ 330$), found also at the same place, was published by E. Bilgiç in the Anatolia VIII (1965) under the title "Kültepe Hüyüğünde Çıkan Üç Tablet".

I recognized the second missing part of the fragment (z/t 11), which had been found during the excavations of 1972, while I was occupied in the work of joining the fragments of the Kültepe tablets at Ankara Museum of the Anatolian Civilizations. I think that it would be of great use to re-examine the tablet as a whole, since it also contains the names of persons, places and a certain title all of which we meet first in the texts of Cappadocia.

Although the number of the tablets we posses are subtantial, yet our knowledge of the administrative structure and institutions of the states, either big or small, of which the exitence and political activities in Anatolia during the period of Old Assyrian Colonies we know to some extent, is poor, due to the fact that their contents are mainly commercial.

The shortage of official documents in this field involves us to infar, as far as possible, certain ideas from their right interpretations.

This tablet, containing the names of men under certain magistrates, as understood, the titles of some of whom have been given and containing also the names of towns where these civil servants were sent to, is a kind of official document of, either registration, or distribution of civil servants to magistrates. What is of the highest importance to us here is the fact that this distribution of men was made directly from the palace of Kaniš.

It is remarkable that it shows us a large variety of jobs and the extent of the administrative arrangement and economic activities. These as

CAHİT GÜNBATTI

a whole, give us, though not solid, yet some evidence that at the beginning of historical ages in Anatolia there was a state well organized for central governing.

I do not hesitate to say that, a wider and solid knowledge will be gained in some near future through the examination of thousands of other tablets in hand, not yet published and scrutinized.